UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

TED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Javier Gutierrez-Virelas	Case Number: <u>11-08169M-001</u>
sented by counsel. I conclude by a prep nt pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on April 27, 2011. Defendant was present onderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention FINDINGS OF FACT
	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
	arged offense, was in the United States illegally.
If released herein, the defendant Enforcement, placing him/her beyond or otherwise removed.	faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs I the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
The defendant has no significant cor	tacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	e United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
The defendant has a prior criminal hi	story.
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
The defendant is an amnesty applic substantial family ties to Mexico.	cant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.
The defendant attempted to evade la	w enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.
	V. Javier Gutierrez-Virelas with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 36 sented by counsel. I conclude by a prepart pending trial in this case. onderance of the evidence that: The defendant is not a citizen of the The defendant, at the time of the chall feleased herein, the defendant Enforcement, placing him/her beyond or otherwise removed. The defendant has no significant con The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal him The defendant is an amnesty application of the substantial family ties to Mexico. The defendant attempted to evade later the substantial family ties to evade later the substantial family attempted to evade

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: April 29, 2011

JAMES F. METCALF
United States Magistrate Judge